



WITNESS STATEMENT

URN

DUN	10.10.21	02
-----	----------	----

Statement of: Anthony Coles

Age if under 18: Over 18

Occupation: Not working due to disabilities

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have stated in it anything I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:  (witness)

Date: 7th October 2021

I am a commissioner for the common law peace constables and have been concerned with recent events surrounding the training of constables. I first became aware that there were issues with the training of the constables when I was contacted by several people via Facebook who were disappointed with the common law courts for training, they had received and paid for. This was primarily an issue due to the fact that the training for constables did not require a monetary amount and only donations were acceptable to cover the costs of the trainers, for fuel and any potential materials. After looking into the problems, it had become apparent from the guardians 300 own website that all the training was being charged for and I am aware that the payments were all going into a private bank account which turned out to belong to Mick Stott, the organiser of the training for the common law peace constables, who was appointed in Scotland. The guardians 300 is a group/company that was created by Mick Stott and was not associated in any way with the CLC, neither was the training to be conducted under the banner of guardians 300, the only agreement was that Mick Stott would train the constables on behalf of the common law peace service. Mick was fully aware of the protocols and criteria for the training of constables as he had attended an intensive training session that was devised to keep uniformity and to ensure that everyone acted honourably whilst carry out their duties. At the end of micks training, he was fully aware that all constables had to submit a declaration of their birth certificate with the CLC website, had to have the date they were trained, the candidates name and name of the trainer, along with the location and a picture for the issuance of a Card/badge, this was very important so that we could always confirm that constables were trained properly and that not just anyone could claim to be a common law constable.

Signature: 

Signature witnessed by: 

Page 1 of 1 Pages



WITNESS STATEMENT

URN

D4N	10.10.21	02
-----	----------	----

CONTINUATION SHEET

Statement of: Anthony Coles

Page 2 of 2 Pages.

The training also followed a set course, however it had transpired from the complaints that I had received that the course was trimmed down in size, no details were recorded or photo taken and most disturbing was that I was made aware that there was some heavy criticism of the common law court and a personal attack on John Smith's ability to understand the training that was being provided by Mick Stott, this was later expressed in a video that I had watched by Mick, who had laid out what he was doing. I was also aware that one person who had attended a training course claimed to have known more than the trainer and two other people walked out due to the attacks on the common law court and John Smith. The behaviour of Mick and his trainers was not only unlawful but was dishonourable and brought the reputation of the common law courts into disrepute owing to the deception caused, the issues regarding training and that the money taken were all authorised by the CLC. Some people that contacted me had said that they were prepared to withdraw their information from the CLC due to this behaviour, it was only when I had explained to them that none of this was sanctioned or agreed, that they were understanding of the situation. With the training being cut down, it is unclear what was left out and there are many more people that were trained that are mistakenly under the belief that they are now common law constables under the CLC, this was apparent when people were contacting the Court for their certificate which they were told they would receive following their training, but due to the lack of details it was impossible to tell if someone had been trained or not and if they did, then did they receive the proper authorised training. Whilst I watch the previous aforementioned video by Mick Stott, it was apparent that Mick was going to set up his own court, clearly to be able to issue his own certificates and whilst, as a man he is entitled to do this, the main motive behind this seems to be monetarily driven and this is why the course was reduced in size in order to get more courses in the day/week. The reputation of the CLC has clearly been damaged and the confidence in the people has wavered due to the actions of Mick Stott and his unlawful behaviour, something that has harmed and deceived the people.

Signature: 

Signature witnessed by: 

Page 2 of 2 Pages