

Paul Allen  
26 Walnut Grove  
Redcar  
TS10 3PG

Date: 29<sup>th</sup> January 2019

Helen McMillan  
Temporary Deputy Chief Constable  
Police Headquarters  
Troisdorf Way  
Redcar  
TS10 5AP

c.c. Lord Burnett of Maldon, The Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales  
The men and women under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court

**LAWFUL NOTICE  
REPORTING CRIMINAL OFFENCES**

Dear Helen,

I write to forward prima facie evidence and to confirm various crimes which have been committed against me. In relation to this issue, I attended your Police Headquarters on Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2019 only to be informed by the individual at the desk that he would not take the evidence that I wished to submit. I was then issued with a crime reference number CUP-19-012290 and informed that I would have to wait for a police officer to contact me regarding this.

On Friday 25<sup>th</sup> January 2019, I was contacted by an individual who claimed to be a police officer, this individual refused to give a name but provided me with a Police number PC 2013.

After the initial introduction, I was informed by this officer that he required information about the above crime reference number, as he was tasked to deal with it. During our conversation I informed this officer that I had wished to report a crime and that I wished to lodge Prima Facie evidence to confirm this, he stated that he would not accept this, he would not be sending someone out to see me and that he would be closing the case file.

For your assistance I now wish to draw your attention to the following:

1. I write to confirm that the crimes that I wished to report were committed under common law, various acts of parliament and statutes.
2. My understanding is that these acts and statutes were created by government and passed by the queen in to legislation.
3. Given that the crimes that I wish to report were established under common law, by the crown and parliament, do you wish to support the stance adopted by PC 2013?
4. The use of the form N49 is unlawful, fraudulent and establishes the crime of uttering.
5. The use of the EX96 is unlawful, fraudulent and establishes the crime of uttering.

6. The failure of PC2013 to investigate this matter may mean that he is also an accessory and an abettor.
7. The threatening and intimidating behaviour of the court bailiffs during the unlawful re-possession of my property, caused fear harm and distress, which is also a crime.
8. The bailiffs were also guilty of causing additional criminal damage to the property.
9. The bailiffs were demanding property with the use of forged documents.
10. Judge Cook did not have authority or jurisdiction to deal with this matter, he refused to address this and carried on regardless.
11. Judge Cook refused to recuse himself when asked to do so, he had shown clear bias, had acted unlawfully and may be criminally.

R v Sussex Justices, Ex parte McCarthy is a leading English case on the impartiality and recusal of judges. It is famous for its precedence in establishing the principle that the mere appearance of bias is sufficient to overturn a judicial decision. It also brought into common parlance the oft-quoted aphorism:

***"Not only must Justice be done; it must also be seen to be done."***

Court: High Court of Justice. Cases cited: KB 256, EWHC KB1 Judge(s) sitting: Lord Hewart CJ, Lush and Sankey JJ

12. Judge Cook had failed to accept a lawful defence put forward and had failed to establish who the defendant was in relation to the action.
13. Judge Cook is guilty of trying to criminally coerce me into an inequitable resolution under duress while knowing that he had no locus to use the legal entity without dominion.
14. Judge Cook has chosen to ignore lawfully issued documents from a superior court, confirming the existence of a living man, ownership of the legal fiction and ownership and transfer of the property to the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.
15. Judge Cook has acted for vested interests, against the rule of law and as a corporate employee.
16. Judge Cook has failed to accept and acknowledge the authority of the people.
17. The Common Law Court has already confirmed the criminal behaviour of the individuals concerned.
18. The previous statutory orders issued against me have been annulled.
19. Judge Cook and Judge Keating were to be reported for their unlawful behaviour.
20. Compensation and financial penalties were awarded to me totalling £412,800, which confirms that even if there was a case against me, using the rules of offset, they would owe me money.

In addition to the above I wish to confirm that I have just received a threatening and intimidating document asking me to attend Middlesbrough County Court on Friday 1<sup>st</sup> February 2019. With respect, I will not be attending the said court as I had feared for my safety when visiting previously, I felt distressed, intimidated and threatened.

The issue raised for this hearing has already been dealt with by a superior court (Common Law Court) and the county court has no authority or jurisdiction to deal with this. This action has also been raised by the very same individuals that have already been found guilty of committing various criminal offences against the people (see Common Law Court Order attached).

I require that these matters are investigated immediately and that until such time as this has been addressed, I write to confirm that no further action may be taken against me or my property.

As I have enclosed prima facie evidence to confirm these crimes, I require a response within seven days to confirm your position, a response should also be forwarded to the Lord Chief Justice and the Common Law Court ([info@commonlawcourt.com](mailto:info@commonlawcourt.com)) as they are interested parties.

Signed

Paul Allen  
Subject Solely to Common Law